ESTTA Tracking number:

ESTTA66009 02/11/2006

Filing date:

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	92045238
Party	Defendant Berry Plastics Corporation Berry Plastics Corporation 101 Oakley Street P.O. Box 959 Evansville, IN 477060959
Correspondence Address	Julia Spoor Gard Barnes & Thornburg LLP 11 South Meridian Street Indianapolis, IN 46204-3535 UNITED STATES jgard@btlaw.com
Submission	Other Motions/Papers
Filer's Name	David A. W. Wong
Filer's e-mail	dwong@btlaw.com, jgard@btlaw.com
Signature	/dwong/
Date	02/11/2006
Attachments	OppositiontoMotionforDefaultJudgmentSNAPLOC.pdf (3 pages) AnswertoPetitiontoCancelSNAPLOC.pdf (3 pages)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Rexam Closures and Containers, Inc.		
)	
Petitioner,)	
)	
v.)	Cancellation No. 92045238
)	Registration No. 2827685
Berry Plastics Corporation		_
)	
Registrant.)	
)	

OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR DEFAULT JUDGMENT AND REQUEST FOR ACCEPTANCE OF LATE FILED ANSWER ON THE GROUNDS OF GOOD CAUSE

Registrant Berry Plastics Corporation respectfully submits this Opposition to Petitioner's Motion for Default Judgment and its request for acceptance of the attached Answer, on the grounds of good cause. In support of this Opposition, Registrant states:

- 1. U.S. Trademark Registration No. 2,827,685 for the mark SNAPLOC was registered on March 30, 2004 (the "Registration").
- 2. Unbeknownst to Registrant, on December 7, 2005, Petitioner filed a Petition for Cancellation for the Registration.
- 3. The Trademark Trial and Appeal Board (the "Board") database shows that the Notice of Petition for Cancellation was mailed to Registrant on December 14, 2005
- 4. However, Registrant did not receive the Notice and therefore did not have knowledge of the cancellation proceeding.
- 5. Petitioner filed a Motion for Default Judgment concerning the Petition for Cancellation on February 2, 2006.

6. Registrant's first knowledge of the present cancellation proceeding came with receipt of the Notice of Petitioner's Motion for Default Judgment. Accordingly, Applicant has

not received fair or due notice of the initiation of the subject cancellation proceeding.

7. Registrant will be unduly prejudiced and may suffer irreparable harm by the lack

of notice of the initiation of the subject cancellation proceeding.

8. Therefore, for the reasons stated above, it is respectfully requested that the Board

permit Registrant fair opportunity to respond to the present cancellation proceeding and accept

Registrant's Answer to the subject Petition for Cancellation attached hereto as Exhibit A.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: February 11, 2006

/s/David A.W. Wong

Julia Spoor Gard Mary Jane Frisby

David A.W. Wong

BARNES & THORNBURG LLP

11 South Meridian St.

Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-3535

jgard@btlaw.com

mfrisby@btlaw.com

dwong@btlaw.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that the foregoing document was served on February 11, 2006 on the parties listed below by First Class U.S. Mail, postage prepaid.

Julie Ann Gregory Brian P. McGraw MIDDLETON REUTLINGER 2500 Brown & Williamson Tower Louisville, KY 40202

/s/David A.W. Wong
David A.W. Wong

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Rexam Closures and Containers, Inc.		
)	
Petitioner,)	
)	
v.)	Cancellation No. 92045238
)	Registration No. 2827685
Berry Plastics Corporation		
)	
Registrant.)	
C)	

REGISTRANT BERRY PLASTICS CORPORATION'S ANSWER TO PETITION FOR CANCELLATION

Registrant Berry Plastics Corporation, for its Answer to the Petition for Cancellation by Rexam Closures and Container's Inc., respectfully states:

- 1. Registrant is without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 1 of Petitioner's Petition to Cancel and therefore denies same.
- 2. Registrant is without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 2 of Petitioner's Petition to Cancel and therefore denies same.
- 3. Registrant is without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 3 of Petitioner's Petition to Cancel and therefore denies same.
- 4. Registrant is without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 4 of Petitioner's Petition to Cancel and therefore denies same.
 - 5. Registrant denies the allegations of paragraph 5 of Petitioner's Petition to Cancel.
 - 6. Registrant admits the allegations of paragraph 6 of Petitioner's Petition to Cancel.
 - 7. Registrant admits the allegations of paragraph 7 of Petitioner's Petition to Cancel.

8. Registrant is without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of

paragraph 8 of Petitioner's Petition to Cancel and therefore denies same.

9. Registrant denies the allegations of paragraph 9 of Petitioner's Petition to Cancel.

10. Registrant is without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of

paragraph 10 of Petitioner's Petition to Cancel and therefore denies same.

11. Registrant denies the allegations of paragraph 11 of Petitioner's Petition to

Cancel.

12. Registrant denies the allegations of paragraph 12 of Petitioner's Petition to

Cancel.

13. Registrant denies the allegations of paragraph 13 of Petitioner's Petition to

Cancel.

14. Registrant denies the allegations of paragraph 14 of Petitioner's Petition to

Cancel.

15. Registrant denies the allegations of paragraph 15 of Petitioner's Petition to

Cancel.

WHEREFORE, Registrant requests that Petitioner's Petition to Cancel U.S. Reg. No.

2,827,685 be denied and that that it be granted all other just and proper relief.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: February 11, 2006 /s/David A. W. Wong

Julia Spoor Gard Mary Jane Frisby

David A.W. Wong

BARNES & THORNBURG LLP

11 South Meridian St.
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-3535
jgard@btlaw.com
mfrisby@btlaw.com
dwong@btlaw.com